Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/911,614	KOUNO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
_	Michael K. GRAY	3746
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Michael K. GRAY</u> .	(3)	
(2) Alan Schiavelli, Esq.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 March 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		j
Rejection(s) discussed: November 3, 2003		,
Claims discussed: 5	·	
Prior art documents discussed: Lorson		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
· 		
,		
•		
Milalk. fry		
	nt/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 09/911,614

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that language should be inserted into claim 5 to indicate that end plate, valve seat and bore were part of same element. Attorney indicated he would have to get back with his client for approval. Examiner indicated change could be made by examiner's amendment.